

ELIZABETH NORRIS.

LETTER FROM THE ASSISTANT CLERK OF THE COURT OF CLAIMS,
TRANSMITTING A COPY OF THE FINDINGS FILED BY THE COURT
IN THE CASE OF ELIZABETH NORRIS AGAINST THE UNITED
STATES.

JANUARY 14, 1902.—Referred to the Committee on War Claims and ordered to be printed.

COURT OF CLAIMS, CLERK'S OFFICE,
Washington, January 13, 1902.

SIR: Pursuant to the order of the court I transmit herewith a certified copy of the findings filed by the court in the aforesaid cause, which case was referred to this court by the Committee on War Claims, House of Representatives, under the act of March 3, 1883.

I am, very respectfully, yours, etc.,

JOHN RANDOLPH,
Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

HON. DAVID B. HENDERSON,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

[Court of Claims. Congressional, No. 10016. Elizabeth Norris v. The United States.]

This case being a claim for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion, the court, on a preliminary inquiry, finds that Elizabeth Norris, the person alleged to have furnished such supplies or stores, or from whom the same are alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

BY THE COURT.

Filed January 28, 1901.

[Court of Claims. Congressional case No. 10016. Elizabeth Norris v. The United States.]

STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim in the above-entitled case for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion, was transmitted to the court by the Committee on War Claims, House of Representatives, on the 13th day of February, 1900.

On a preliminary inquiry the court, on the 28th day of January, 1901, found that the person alleged to have furnished the supplies or stores, or from whom they were alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

The case was brought to a hearing on its merits on the 29th day of October, 1901. George W. Hott, esq., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by George H. Walker, esq., his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The claimant in her petition makes the following allegations:

That she is a citizen of the United States, residing in Washington County, State of Maryland, where she resided during the late war of the rebellion; that at different times during said period the United States forces, by proper authority, took from her quartermaster stores and commissary supplies of the value of \$207, and appropriated the same to the use of the United States Army, as follows:

187 cords of wood, at \$1 per cord	\$187
400 rails, 5 cords, at \$4 per cord	20
	<hr/> 207

Taken for the use of the United States Army in December, 1863, and January, 1864, by Dr. Boone, surgeon, United States hospital.

The court, upon the evidence, and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, makes the following

FINDING OF FACTS.

There was taken from the claimant in Washington County, State of Maryland, during the war of the rebellion, by the military forces of the United States, for the use of the Army, property of the kind and character above described, which was reasonably worth at the time the sum of two hundred and five dollars and seventy-five cents (\$205.75).

No payment appears to have been made therefor.

BY THE COURT.

Filed December 2, 1901.

A true copy.

Test this 11th day of January, A. D. 1902.

[SEAL.]

JOHN RANDOLPH,
Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.